

Book 160MOSHE
SISELSENDER
RECONCILIATION OF
ALL MITZVOT BEING
DIVINE ORDAINED
BY GOD
VIS AVIS ACTUAL
POLICY AND
ATTITUDE TOWARD
JEWS WHO DO NOT
ACCEPT THIS

ABRAHAM THE FIRST JEW
POSSESSED THE GIFT OF BEING AN
INDEPENDENT THINKER.

ABRAHAM ISAAC AND JACOB THE
PATRIARCHS ARE CREDITED OF
POSSESSING AND OBSERVING
ALL THE MITZVOT LATER GIVEN BY
GOD AT MOUNT SINAI .

HOWEVER IF JEWS ARE CREDITED
IN BEING INDEPENDENT THINKERS
THEY DID QUESTION AND REFUSED
TO OBSERVE SOME OR MANY OF
THE MITZVOT OF THE TORAH.

RAMBAM IN HIS MONUMENTAL
WORK YAAD HAHAZOKO BOOK OF
YESODEI HATORAH AND LAWS OF
AVODAH ZORA DECLARES
EXPLICITLY THAT FOUNDATION
OF JUDAISM IS THAT ALL THE

TORAH WRITTEN IN THE FIVE BOOKS OF MOSES AND ORAL LAW NOW WRITTEN IN THE TALMUD ARE DIVINE.

ONE WHO DENIES THIS DOGMA IS THE SAME AS ONE WHO ACCEPTS PAGANISM.

THE REASON IS BECAUSE ALL JEWISH LAWS ARE DIVINE. ALL MORAL CIVIL CRIMINAL MARRIAGE DIVORCE ANNULMENTS ALL ARE DIVINE. JUST LIKE ALL RITUALS ARE DIVINE SO TOO ALL LAWS TO ENABLE SOCIETY TO FUNCTION ARE DIVINE.

THE SIN OF PAGANISM IS BECAUSE PAGANISM CHALLENGES THE AUTHORITY OF THE DIVINITY OF ALL THESE LAWS BEING

AUTHORED BY GOD.

CONSEQUENTLY ONE WHO DENIES THE DIVINITY OF ALL THESE LAWS IS BEING AN ENEMY TO THE WELL BEING OF SOCIETY.

IN THE JEWISH CANNON OF THE BIBLE FOLLOWING THE FIVE BOOKS CALLED TANACH RELATE THE PUNISHMENT GOD BESTOWED UPON THE JEWS FOR VIOLATING THE TORAH. BOTH THE NORTHERN KINGDOM CONSISTING OF 10 TRIBES AND THE SOUTHERN KINGDOM OF THE 2 TRIBES ARE EXILED AS PUNSHMENT FOR VIOLATING THE COMMANDMENTS OF THE TORAH.

FOLLOWING THE RETURN OF THE TWO TRIBES YEHUDAH AND

BINYOMIN AND REBUILDING OF THE SECOND TEMPLE IN JERUSALEM A NEW HISTORICAL REALITY EXISTED.

THE SAMARITANS CLAIMED THAT THEY WERE DESCENDANTS OF JEWS. THEY DISPUTED THE AUTHORITY OF EZRA AND NECHEMIA THE LEADERS WHO IMMIGRATED TO ISRAEL AND BUILT THE SECOND TEMPLE.

ALTHOUGH THEY WERE REBUFFED BY EZRA AND NECHEMIA IN PRACTICE FOR MANY YEARS RAMBAM IN LAWS OF SLAVES WRITES THAT IT WAS PERMITTED TO HAVE A SAMAITAN BE PART OF A RABBINICAL COURT TO WRITE A BILLOF

EMANCIPATION FOR THE SLAVE
AND LIKE WISE WRITE A GET A
JEWISH DIVORCE .OF COURSE A
JEWISH WIFE IS NOT A SLAVE. BUT
BOTH BILLS REQUIRE A JEWISH
COURT FOLLOWING JEWISH LAW.

PROVIDING OF COURSE THAT
BOTH THE EMANCIPATION AS WELL
AS THE THE BILLOF DIVORCE WAS
WRITTEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH
JEWISH LAW THAT WE PRESENLTLY
HAVE IN THE FOUR CODES OF LAW
OF THE SHULCHAN ARUCH.

LATER AFTER THE SAMARITANS
WERE DEEMED TO BE IN THE
CATEGORY OF NON JEWS THE SAME
POLICY WAS GIVEN TO THE
REORM CULT CALLED TZEDOKIM
AND BAITUSIM.

RAMBAM AUTHORIZES TO HAVE THIS POLICY EXTENDED TO THE KORAIM WHO ALSO CHALLENGED THE LEGITIMACY OF JEWISH LAW.

RAMBAM IN HIS COMMENTARY ON HULIN EXPLICITELY ENDORSES THIS POLICY.

BAIS YOSPH IN HIS COMMENTARY ON TUR LAWS FORBIDDING THE CHARGING OF INTEREST TO JEWS FOLLOWS THE RULING OF RAMBAM.

ALSO THE HARSH LAWS PUNISHING DENIERS THAT ALL LAWS ARE DIVINE WERE NEVER EXECUTED IN PARCTICE EVEN WHEN THE TEMPLE EXISTED. CERTAINLY 40YEARS BEFORE THE TEMPLE WAS DESTROYED THE

SANRHDRIN MOVED AWAY FROM THE TEMPLE THUS ALL HARSH LAWS AS EXECUTION WERE ABOLISHED. EXECUTIONS COULD ONLY BE GIVEN WHEN THE SANEHDRIN THE RABBINICAL COURT SAT AT THE TEMPLE SITE.

RAV MOSHE FEINSTEIN EXPLAINED THE REASON.

THOSE WHO DENIED THE LEGITIMACY REPRESENTED A VERY HUGE GROUP. WOULD THE PERUSHIM THOSE WHO UPHELD THE LEGITIMACY TRY TO ENFORCE THE HARSH PUNISHMENTS FOR DENIAL THEN THEY THEMSELVES WOULD BE AT RISK FOR RETALIATION AND THEIR LIVES WOULD BE PUT IN DANGER.AS

OCCURRED WHEN THEY CHALLENGED YANAI ALEXANDER ONE OF THE HASMENOIM KINGS WHO BECAME A HERETIC. HE BECAME A HERETIC BECAUSE PERUSHIM QUESTIONED HIS LEGITIMACY TO BE A KOHEN SINCE HIS MOTHER WAS HELD A CAPTIVE BY THE GREEK SOLDIERS AND THEY POSSIBLY RAPED HER. ONCE A WOMAN IS RAPED BY NON JEWS HER CHILDREN CAN NOT SERVE AS KOHANIM.

IN ADDITION RAMBAM IN LAWS OF MAMRIM CHAPTER 3 WRITES THAT ALL THESE LAWS DO NOT APPLY TO THE CHILDREN OF THE DENIERS WHO ARE COMPARED TO BEING CAPTURED BY NON JEWS.

TAZ IN YOREH DAYO 99:8 AND
PRIMEGODIN IBID STATE THAT IF
SOME ONE VIOLATES JEWISH LAW
THINKING THAT IT IS PERMITTED
SUCH A PERSON IS NOT TO BE
CONSIDERED A WILLFUL SINNER
THEY ARE CONSIDERED
SHOGGEGIM UNWITTING AND ARE
NOT TO BE PUNISHED.

THAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE LAW
WHEN ONE SEES SOME ONE
VIOLATING AJEWISH LAW HE
SHOULD NOT BE TOLD OF THE
GRAVITY OF HIS VIOLATION WHEN
ONE SUSPECTS THEY WILL NOT
LISTEN. RATHER THAT THE
VIOLATOR BE A SHOGEGE NOT
WITINGLY . IGNORANCE IS A
BLESSING .

ONLY WHEN A PERSON CLAIMS THAT IT IS PERMITTED TO KILL RAMBAM RULES THAT SUCH A PERSON IS A WILFUL VIOLATOR. THEY SHOULD HAVE LEARNED THAT KILLING IS NEVER ACCEPTABLE. UNLESS THE VICTIM HAS VIOLATED A CRIMINAL LAW . AND THE LAWS DO NOT DISCRIMINATE .

IN LAWS OF SHECHITA RAMBAM AUTHORIZES TO PERMIT ONE WHO DENIES THE VALIDITY OF JEWISH LAW LIKE KARAIM TO BE A RITUAL SLAUGHTERER PROVIDING THE KNIFE

WAS PREPARED BY AN
OBSERVANT JEW.

BECAUSE KARAIM ARE
NOT DEEMED AS A NON
JEW. NON JEWS WOULD
NOT BE ACCEPTED EVEN IF
THEY FOLLOWED ALL THE
LAWS .

SOURCE BEGINNING OF
LAWS OF SHECHITA.

COULD WE APPLY THIS
RULING TO THE REFORM
AND CONSERVATIVES IN
OUR DAY AND AGE ?

RAV SOLEVETCHIK

WANTED TO MAKE A JOINT
BET DIN WITH RAV
LIEBERMAN WHO WAS
HEAD OF THE
CONSERVATIVE BET DIN.
RAV LIEBERMAN WAS 100%
ORTHODOX ALTHOUGH HE
TAUGHT AT THE JEWISH
THEOLOGICAL SEMINAR.
THE PLAN FELL APART
AFTER THE CONSERVATIVE
RABBIS ABOLISHED
FOLLOWING TWO DAYS
FOR ALL HOLIDAYS
OUTSIDE OF ISRAEL.

ONE WHO DARES ABOLISH
TWO DAYS IS PLACED IN
CHEREM

EXCOMMUNICATION. .

RAV LIEBERMAN WROTE IN
THE JEWISH PAPERS THAT
HE OPPOSED THIS
VIOLATION OF ABOLISHING
TWO DAYS OUTSIDE OF
ISRAEL.

RAV MOSHE FEINSTEIN
DEEMS ALL REFORM AND
CONSERVATIVE
MARRIAGES AS NULL AND
VOID BECAUSE HE DEEMS

ALL THEIR RABBIS AS
BEING INCOMPETENT TO BE
WITNESSES. THEREFORE IF A
WOMAN MARRIES IN A
REFORM OR
CONSERVATIVE
CEREMONY AND THE
HUSBAND REFUSES TO
GRANT HER A GET A
JEWISH DIVORCE RAV
FEINSTEIN WILL ANNUL
THE MARIAGE.