

ANSWER  
2021

Chapter 15 - page 244-304  
Question 1

Meekah tout - Mistake in the marriage.

Men is closer who officiates at the wedding does not disclose to the bride that

Halachic husband becomes "acquires" the possession of the not free to have relations with another man, but forbidden.

Halachic marriage is not forbidden. Once she enters a trap. If she enters there she is a monster. He a brute a monster.

DISCLOSE  
ACQUAINTANCE  
KINGDOM

QUESTION

~~What if she gives a~~  
or a wife beater, a  
drunk, a narcotic,  
abuser, a gambler,  
what if she meets  
a so wealthy, ~~kind~~  
more attractive man,  
a better lover?  
Is the woman to be  
caged for ever and  
the man not?  
Is the Rabbi who  
performs the ceremony does  
not want her to have  
this fact and have  
her sign a statement  
that she understands  
fully ~~well~~ the risks  
and hazards of an  
Halachic marriage,  
No disclosure has  
taken place. She should  
not need a Get  
245

3  
that is controlled by the  
husband. ~~controlled~~ does not  
need the husband to give  
her the ~~get the~~ This  
itself to ~~the~~ ground for  
annulment.

Response Every have  
Society and state  
their own laws and  
conventions. ~~Jesus~~  
accept the ~~Talmud~~ as  
divinely given as  
~~divinized~~ by the  
~~the~~ Parts of the  
Four ~~parts~~ of the  
Shulchan Aruch. The  
Laws of Marriage Divorce  
and ~~mistake~~ ~~the~~ in a  
purchase ~~and~~ disclosure  
governed by the  
Shulchan Aruch  
Choshen Mishpat and  
146

4  
Every day. I guess for a  
moment and people  
at a civil marriage, bride and  
groom are warned  
of the hazards of a  
civil marriage. Are  
they informed of all  
the laws regarding  
the obligations and  
rights of each of the  
spouses. ~~What would~~  
~~happen if the marriage breaks~~  
~~up?~~

Are they apprised of the  
obligation to pay  
for support of a child  
and ~~the~~ ~~husband~~  
husband's ~~freedom~~  
The ~~husband~~ ~~can~~ ~~not~~ ~~support~~  
The ~~husband~~ ~~can~~ ~~not~~ ~~support~~  
The ~~husband~~ ~~can~~ ~~not~~ ~~support~~  
two families. Are they  
appraised that for years  
247

that the husband  
is informed that  
he does not pay?  
Do the husband  
will be informed  
that he does not pay?

The father may only visit with his children no more than once a week?

I have attended CIV / weddings and no such disclosures were ~~sole~~ given, nor were groom and bride ~~Atta / Khat~~

required to sign any ~~statements~~ <sup>statements</sup> that they understand. At no instance in CIV /

law could a husband and wife argue for an annulment because of no disclosure and that they were ignorant of the laws.

Ignorance of the law is no excuse. There exists attorney. One

from the consequences

13 advised to consult  
attorneys before  
entering any business  
venture and certainly  
before getting married  
by someone  
blindly jumps into  
marriage and gets  
burned one has no  
one to blame but  
himself or herself.  
If one jumps off  
the roof without  
looking the like  
can not expect to  
bend the laws of  
physics. & so too if  
one gets married and  
is burned by the  
~~future~~ ~~consequence~~  
as a result she or  
he has no use to  
249

\* This dual arrangement is not  
the ~~main~~ child and creation of any  
organization or Rabbe'i. Jews accept that  
this arrangement is Divinely ordained  
the same as the laws governing Kashrut food  
laws of Shabbat Laws of Nidah Mikvah.

blame left to himself or  
herself. The laws governing  
Halachic marriage are  
well documented and  
known to Rabbis. One  
must consult them  
before getting  
married. See Rambam Meloch  
10:15  
of Shandrow's Law  
state that Jewish Law  
permits the husband  
to have extra  
marital affairs. At  
the ~~marriage~~ ceremony  
what creates the  
marriage is the  
agreement of the  
wife to "sell" to  
the husband ~~her~~  
sole sexual rights to  
herself. She belongs  
sexually only to him.  
~~That~~ The husband  
pledges fidelity  
to her. It is a  
Mistubah. It is a  
280

The <sup>is</sup> ~~main~~ <sup>great</sup> arrangement is that  
the ~~main~~ child and creation of any  
organization or Rabbe'i. Jews accept that  
this arrangement is <sup>divinely ordained</sup>  
the same as the laws governing kosher food  
laws of Shabbat Laws of Nidah Mikvah

blame left to himself or  
himself. The laws governing  
Halachic marriage are  
well documented and  
known to Rabbis. One  
must consult them  
before getting  
married. See Rambam <sup>10:1</sup> ~~10:1~~  
state that Jewish Law  
permits the husband  
to have extra  
marital affairs. At  
the wedding ceremony  
what creates the  
marriage is the  
agreement of the  
wife to "sell" to  
the husband ~~see~~  
sole actual rights to  
herself. She belongs  
sexually only to him.  
~~That~~ The husband  
pledges fidelity  
in the  
Ketubah. It is a  
251



If anyone refuses to accept this arrangement  
let them not have an Eulachic marriage.  
Before getting married they should have  
inquired if they did not ignore of the

document of <sup>8</sup> obligations  
That he undertakes to  
behave "Kehilchosa  
Giv'oyan" as Jewish  
husbands have  
fast 4000 years.  
Jewid not have ~~but~~ other  
women as lovers,  
Jewish husbands  
support them, wives  
love them, do not  
hurt them, physically  
emotionally or psycholo-  
gically. Jewish  
husbands are not  
homosexual or  
let sexual. Jewish  
husbands ~~do not~~  
are not  
alcoholic or

The reason is not because the husband is 97 and aimed to be the Master and the wife the servant. The reason is, because such is the law. The reason is, because himself. The Di VINE Decree of all the other Chukim some reason for all the Dietary laws or the laws of Shabbat or the Dietary laws or the laws of Mikvah.

substance alone or gamblers of a marriage ceremony would stipulate that the wife would purchase such a ceremony and be null and void at such a ceremony according to Halacha. Such a ceremony is not legal in a civil marriage but not a Jewish Halacha. A Jewish Halacha is acquired by Kinyan - purchase. <sup>the wife</sup> <sup>separately</sup>

The reason is that <sup>9</sup> we cannot see the husband is ordained to be the Master and the wife the servant. The reason is because such is the DIVINE DECREE of G-d Himself. The same reason goes for all the other Chukim like the laws of Shabbat or the Dietary Laws or the laws of Niddah Mikvah.

substance abuse or gamblers of a marriage ceremony would stipulate that the wife would purchase such a ceremony and be given a ring. such a ceremony would be null and void as such a ~~ceremony~~ according to Halacha <sup>might be</sup> legal in a civil marriage but not according to Jewish law.

a Jewish Halachic marriage is acquired <sup>sexually</sup> by Kinyan purchase. (K)

~~The court~~ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~bound~~ ~~to~~ ~~grant~~ ~~him~~ ~~his~~ ~~wife~~ ~~a~~ ~~get~~.  
 to state that the  
~~trapped~~ wife is  
 for life and caped  
 15 equally  
 15. ~~land~~ ~~and~~ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~bound~~ ~~to~~ ~~grant~~ ~~him~~ ~~his~~ ~~wife~~ ~~a~~ ~~get~~.  
 the husband does not  
 live up to his side  
 of the Ketubah  
 agreement. The  
 Rabbinical Court ~~can~~  
 15 duty bound to  
 enforce the Ketubah.  
 to keep the Rabbinical  
 Court husband by  
 the ploging they  
 coerced him until  
 he granted his  
 wife a get.  
 Jewish Law insists

See Torts Charge Vol 1 Response # 28.  
Shita Me Kuteta interpretation  
Rachba ~~3A~~ Ksubar 3A.

that a <sup>21</sup> Get must be  
given, ~~tella~~ if ~~collected~~,  
otherwise the wife  
does not go free.  
~~But~~ there can not  
exist any arrangement  
unless a Get is  
simultaneously  
given. When ~~the~~ ~~law~~  
law ~~protects~~ ~~deprives~~  
the Rabbinical Court  
from flogging  
husbands to force a  
Get. Then the  
Rabbinical Court  
binds to annul  
marriages and use  
a Get Ziky.  
The Rabbinical Court  
substitute for the  
husband and  
annul that a  
256

See Tattva Charya vol 1 p. 100 para 26.  
Shri Makhetra interlocking  
Rachha ~~SA~~ Ksubar 3A.

that a <sup>21</sup>Get must be  
given till it is <sup>collected</sup>,  
otherwise the wife  
does not go free.  
~~But~~ there can not  
exist any arrangement  
unless a Get is  
simultaneously  
given. When <sup>collected</sup>  
law ~~is not~~ deprived  
the Rakkimical Court  
from flogging  
husbands to force a  
Get. Then the  
Rakkimical Court  
is bound to annul  
marriages and use  
a Get Ziky.  
The Rakkimical Courts  
substitute for the  
husband and  
otherwise that a  
257

See See My Book Performance on  
The Four Parts of The Shulchan  
Aruch Chapter 1 Part 12.  
~~Chapter 1 Part 12.~~

1-11, 13.

<sup>12</sup>  
scribe write <sup>get</sup>  
and two <sup>get</sup> <sup>wills</sup>  
signed the <sup>but</sup> and the  
but is given to the  
wife in the presence  
of two witnesses.  
free as the wife is set  
Rabbinical <sup>in</sup> court <sup>one</sup> the  
establishes grounds for  
~~annulment~~ <sup>annulment.</sup>

What if there is  
no <sup>get</sup> at all.

All Rabbinical  
authorities agree that  
the woman remains  
married to her  
first husband.

Does she have an  
affair quietly  
is it public or not  
this is considered  
adultery if the  
758

gets pregnant The child  
is named  
illegit. mate.  
The Pashook in  
88 B

EtHidushi Pashook Bitter  
and Jeffrey book response on

I cite them ~~as~~ as  
Four parts of champions of the  
Shulehan rights for the husband  
A much chapter I -  
500s. -  
he lived  
approx 500  
years ago)

See  
P. 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34

see  
# 1162  
see  
# 1162

no Jewish coercion to  
get married. She  
would agree to  
be imprisoned for the  
rest of her life  
The same takes in  
explicitly as cited by  
McKubetov. A  
that it can annulment  
259



gets pregnant The Meib  
is <sup>LF</sup> ~~Marriage~~  
illegit. mate.  
The Rashba in  
88 B

Ehidushi Rashba <sup>577a</sup> and <sup>Yeromim</sup> ~~Yeromim~~ book Responsa on

I cite them ~~as~~ <sup>as</sup> champions of the  
rights for ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> husband  
carrying the burden would  
For if bet bin its  
not of service then  
favor of coercing then  
no Jewish woman  
would agree to  
get married. She  
would otherwise be  
imprisoned for the  
rest of her life."

(A) See  
1763 Eshav  
Vol 1 # 26, 23, 24

(A) see  
Responsa  
Rashba  
1162  
The same Rashba  
explicitly ~~states~~  
in 3A as cited by  
Mekubetzim. (A)  
That it is an annulment  
260

15 given <sup>14</sup> without a  
Gott-thru was no  
conversion of the  
husband - the  
children from the  
second union are  
Mam Zarin. ~~Rabbinically~~  
another authority

Rev Yitzchok Elchong  
100 years ago ~~and~~  
I cited in my response on four  
parts of the Shulchan  
Chaple 1-Roots.

parts of the  
Shulchan  
Chaple 1-Roots.

~~A Gilt  
see Mam  
Ben  
Heeren  
5:5  
#164:14~~

~~in Eid with chup  
that in the secret A  
contingency what  
Bet Din establishes  
that to the husband  
he conveyed to  
give a but we  
do not state  
for the main iv  
Ten Due~~

Rev Yitzchok  
Vol 1 #24:41

14

15 given without a  
Gitt - there was no  
coersion of the  
husband, - the  
children from the  
second union are  
Mam zarim - Rabbinically  
another authority  
on Avrahan ~~at the~~

Res Yitzhak  
Elehoreg  
100 years ago  
I cited in my response on four  
parts of the Shulchan Aruch  
Chapters 1-Roots.  
I did not see Brit A  
that in the contingent  
Bet Din establishes  
that the husband  
he coerced to  
give a Get we  
do not state  
for the main iv  
Ten due to  
742

See Sif  
Avraham  
Ben Shimon  
Hebrew  
1915  
1917  
1918  
1919  
1920  
1921  
1922  
1923  
1924  
1925  
1926  
1927  
1928  
1929  
1930  
1931  
1932  
1933  
1934  
1935  
1936  
1937  
1938  
1939  
1940  
1941  
1942  
1943  
1944  
1945  
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025

~~see Brit A~~  
~~vol #24:41~~

mela mariv <sup>15</sup> Annalisa  
~~Annalisa~~ Vol 1 # 24, 41

that a  
paraphrase to  
mean that a ~~wife~~  
is a man's wife  
man. rather than  
woman a spinster.  
This does not husband  
apply when she  
has breached ~~the~~  
contract and

obligations ~~she~~  
he undertook in  
the giving of the  
identical as  
mentioned previously.  
Raw Yit ~~the~~ same  
Raw Yit ~~school~~ Elkhon  
explicitly states that  
if there exists no  
coerced or only an  
annulment, ~~the~~  
2.63

any children resulting  
from a second  
relationship are  
Mamzarin Rabbinically  
forbidden to  
marry any Jew. (R)

(R) EIV YITZCHOK  
Vol 2  
# 42:3  
Tzitz Eliezer  
Vol 1 # 26: 2, 3, 4.  
But Avraham Even  
Mahrshah 59:5  
Vol 1 # 9

And  
I also cite  
in my  
book  
Respona  
on four  
parts of the  
Shulchan  
Aruch  
Chapter 1 -  
Doth.

~~one other authority~~  
mentions  
that Mamzarin's  
status can be  
rectified by the  
court, ~~misleadingly~~  
giving a ~~bit of~~  
the by employing  
an agent. He then  
cancels the agency.  
Such a procedure  
effects an ~~involvement~~  
See chapter 1 and 13  
in my book  
Respona on Four  
Parts of the Shulchan  
Aruch: 264

Annulment grounds as Nehal tout  
that do not have 17 text to be standards  
used by Red Neck Feinberg who  
was banned from getting church  
Mishpat 277 or a full divorce  
Mishpat 277 is that the divorce  
the divorce must have a divorce  
the wife is the wife  
the immediately of the

17  
What happens if no  
Get zikun was given then  
the woman remains  
married to her  
first husband and  
any children from  
the second ~~union~~ union  
are Mamonzim. Not by  
Biblical Law.

~~in addition~~ they can  
marry any Jew  
any annulments  
employing the  
concept of of  
Nekach tout a  
mistake in the  
using the a  
Get zikun are  
null and void  
the woman remains  
a married woman

1100 1011 of block

used my bus home telegram #79, 80  
I'm learned from [unclear] [unclear]  
Mishpat 2:27 or [unclear] [unclear]  
the [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]  
The wife [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]  
The [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]

17

What happens if no  
Get <sup>ziker</sup> was given then  
the woman remains  
married to her  
first husband and  
any children from  
the second ~~date~~ union  
are <sup>Halakic</sup> ~~Halakic~~ <sup>Halakic</sup> ~~Halakic~~ <sup>Halakic</sup> ~~Halakic~~ <sup>Halakic</sup> ~~Halakic~~ <sup>Halakic</sup> ~~Halakic~~  
By Biblical Law.  
~~In addition~~  
They can ~~never~~  
marry any Jew  
Army commitments  
employing the  
concept of of  
Mekach ~~of of~~  
Mistake ~~of of~~  
~~of of~~ and not  
using the a  
Get <sup>ziker</sup> are  
null and void ~~of of~~  
The woman ~~of of~~  
a married woman.

Admission [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]  
[unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]

18  
A my children from  
the second woman  
no Marzari  
Medurari are  
illegitimate & publicly  
as pointed out  
I was busy no  
disclosure is  
necessary as far as  
civil law is  
concerned of the  
parts of marriage  
No disclosure is  
required as far  
as Hallack is  
concerned of the  
verbal marriage of the  
marriage. Hallack  
ignorance of the  
law is not a  
see Kambam



~~to~~ 19

→ Law of Kings 10:11

~~Army~~ got on  
an agreement given by  
~~any~~ man who has  
not mastered ~~the~~ one  
of our parts of the  
Shulchan Aruch - Code  
of Jewish Law is  
null and void.

All the Agnost  
~~those~~ whose husbands  
disappeared in any  
tragedy had to be  
free by ~~the~~ law  
Code ~~that~~ ~~that~~  
more Rabbis who ~~that~~  
mastered and observed  
the Four parts of  
the Shulchan Aruch - Code of  
268

~~11~~

→ Law of 11/16/69 10:11

~~Agmt~~ but on  
an agreement given by  
~~any~~ man or  
woman who ~~has~~  
not mastered ~~the~~ and

observes that our Parts of the  
Tulcha Arch-Code  
of Jewish Law is  
null and void.

All the Agmt  
~~follow~~ whose husbands  
disappeared in any  
tragedy had to be  
free by ~~the~~ law  
Code ~~had~~ who have  
more Kuphis and observe  
mastered Parts of  
the Four Parts of  
the Tulcha Arch-Code  
Arch-Code of  
269

(A)

Aruch Haachulchan  
Eveln Hoeyer 17:119

20  
Jewish Law  
They do not go  
free otherwise.  
The same  
free of annuities.

~~Aruch~~ sources 6 A.  
Kedushin  
Eveln Hoeyer 48:1-

4.  
Yerushalmi And  
Gittin 4: (A)

see my chapter  
3 in my book  
Response on Four

Parts of the  
Shulchan Aruch.

Furthermore a  
trial must have  
taken place where the  
husband is present  
270

Jewish Law  
They do NOT go  
free otherwise.  
The same  
free of annuities.

Aruch HaShulchan  
EVEN Hoeger 17:119

~~Aruch~~ sources 6 A.  
Kedushin  
EVEN Hoeger 48:1-

4. Yomkama: Ad  
GITTON 4: — (A)

see my chapter  
3 in my book  
Responsa on Four  
Parts of the  
Shulchan Aruch.

Furthermore a  
trial must have  
taken place where the  
husband is present

(A)

① See Even Tzezer 11:4 Responsa  
Rav Aryeh Elster #99  
Choshen Mishpat 28:15

to ~~also~~ <sup>it</sup> tell his  
side of the story.  
No ~~any~~ <sup>any</sup> evidence  
Choshen Mishpat 28:2  
can be admitted.  
No unsubstantiated  
allegations of the  
wife can be  
accepted. ① Rules of  
evidence as the  
outlined in the  
Shulchan Aruch  
Choshen Mishpat  
must be strictly  
followed. Only  
Rabbis <sup>and anyone</sup> ~~who~~ <sup>have</sup>  
mastered all the  
laws of ~~the~~ the  
Four Parts of the  
Shulchan Aruch  
can be the ones

17  
Rae Azura E 65 E # 99  
Choshon Mishpat 28:15  
7.11.2017  
response

to ~~also~~ <sup>at</sup> tell his  
side of the story.  
No ~~perjury~~ <sup>perjury</sup> evidence  
children <sup>Mishpat</sup> can be admitted.  
No unsubstantiated  
allegations of the  
wife can be  
accepted. (A) Rules of  
evidence as the  
outlined in the  
Shulchan Aruch  
Choshon Mishpat  
must be strictly  
followed. Only  
Rabbis <sup>and observe</sup> ~~and observe~~  
Mastered <sup>all</sup> the  
laws of ~~the~~ the  
Four Parts of the  
Shulchan Aruch  
can be the ones  
273

who ~~follows~~ <sup>is</sup> interviewed  
the witnesses and  
gather the  
information. ~~They~~  
must only they  
know how to  
apply the laws of  
Chechen. <sup>laws of</sup> ~~that~~  
are inter related  
with all the other  
laws in the other  
books of the <sup>Shulchan</sup>  
Arukh.

Unless this procedure  
is followed ~~the~~  
we know that the  
implied contracts  
of the husband's  
obligations <sup>under the demychar</sup>  
between his wife have been  
274

Fshus 1418

- ④ Rambam ~~Isuray~~ ~~Book~~ ~~II~~
- ⑤ See com. Menachos on Trid.
- ⑥ ~~Rambam~~ Magid Mishne
- ⑦ ~~Rambam~~ Mishne Lemelach.
- ⑧ See on Eben Hozer IO.

\* Perhaps the wife  
and her family concocted all the allegations?  
breached. \* All later  
generations refused to accept the  
Rambam's position  
of a woman  
giving free by the  
simple formula of  
stating husband & "Mous Alai"  
I & guests me. They  
still conceded they are  
husband to ~~concede~~  
the his wife  
providing cause  
was proven if  
his breach  
of his obligations  
outlined in the  
Ketubah or  
previously mentrat



- ④ Ramban ~~Isaiah 1:11~~
- ⑤ See Com. Menorah on Ibrs.
- ⑥ See Magid Mishne Mishne Lemelach.
- ⑦ See Mishna
- ⑧ See Tur on Eben Haezer 10.

\* Perhaps the wife and her family concocted all the allegations? <sup>23</sup>

breached. 7\* All later generations refused to accept the Ramban's position of a woman giving free by the simple formula of stating husband & my guests me. They still correct the girat husband to ~~correct~~ his wife providing cause was proven if his breach of his obligations outlined in the Ketubah or previously mentioned <sup>276</sup>

~~Cor Asa~~ ~~Alcott~~ of  
~~is~~ ~~participating~~ ~~that~~  
 no arrangements  
 can be given <sup>by Pet</sup> without  
 a ~~trial~~ ~~in~~ the  
 presence of ~~the~~ ~~husband~~ ~~and~~  
 the ~~husband~~ ~~side~~. ~~Must~~  
~~be present~~ ~~on~~  
 the ~~husband~~ ~~side~~.  
 the concerns of  
 the husband and  
 his grievances will  
 be considered by  
 the ~~husband~~ ~~side~~.  
 But ~~if~~ ~~he~~ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~present~~ ~~at~~ ~~the~~ ~~trial~~  
 he will give a ~~bet~~ ~~no~~  
~~reference~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~husband~~ ~~side~~  
 the one who ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~present~~  
 left the marriage  
 and ~~her~~ ~~family~~  
 who encouraged  
 her will present  
 their ~~side~~ ~~side~~.

are addressed  
 or ~~malicious~~ ~~the~~  
 will ~~then~~ ~~be~~  
 necessary.

~~Corasa~~ ~~Advent~~ of  
~~is relating that~~  
 no arrangements  
 can be given <sup>by Pet</sup> without  
 a trial in the  
 presence of ~~the Div.~~  
 the husband. ~~Must~~  
~~be present~~ ~~his~~  
 present ~~his~~ side.  
 So the concerns of  
 the husband and  
 the <sup>his</sup> grievances will  
 be considered by  
 the Div. If his concerns  
 are not given a <sup>get</sup> <sup>no</sup>  
 reply <sup>replies</sup> the  
 one who <sup>is</sup> the  
 one who <sup>is</sup> the  
 marriage  
 left ~~the~~ family  
 and ~~the~~ who encouraged  
 her will present  
 their <sup>238</sup> side.

are addressed  
 or ~~the~~ ~~the~~  
 will then  
 be  
 necessary.

① How Oradye  
he agreed  
from cases  
the same for  
the wife  
he published  
I published  
was very  
showing  
warning

Just although  
to the of the  
judgment of the  
and presents  
and presents  
he said has to  
be said. Let's  
play about you  
the of the  
the of the  
the of the

75  
Bet Din finds that  
cause exists  
conversion, ~~the wife~~ ~~delivered~~  
by the wife  
Levonst rating that  
bona fide proof that  
meets the standards  
of the sheikhem  
Levonst then Bet Din  
will give a  
Get like and  
annual. The  
Marrage is  
This is  
husband refuses to obey the  
Kubris to give a get.  
~~the husband~~

~~the husband~~  
~~the husband~~  
~~the husband~~  
It is noteworthy  
to note that she  
leading Post in  
Israel who favor  
conversion of the husband  
Reuven 49 Joseph and

Citations.

Ⓐ Val 1 # 75

My husband's mother  
see also  
But not (Rashba Shita) McKerberg  
Eli Hozar  
59:5

E 104173chuk  
Val 2:42:3

26  
Theizer wedding val 1 # 76  
condemny an indictment  
By the M. N. Chama overback  
globo post 1 M of  
leading post 1 M of  
lekuise condamn  
annulments.

~~At the woman  
remains in her  
marriage state as  
previously. At  
children frame of  
future ~~the state~~  
one  
unions  
illegit, into  
more form by  
public~~

objections of T323  
Chavez val 1 # 75-15  
overcome see that response to my case (response to Rabbi Chama)

240 When annulments are justified  
we use 20-30 different grounds for  
annulment. As pointed out in my  
response to Rabbi Howard Tackler the

✓ Val 1 # 15  
 My husband transferred  
 But not to the Kashba photo Me. Kenkezo  
 Elon Hozer - Kserbas 3A and  
 59:5 E104173chuk  
 Val 2: 42:3

26 E lies  
 Theizer wedding Val 1 # 26  
 condemn an indictment  
 By the M. V. G. Ma Overback  
 globo Postki M of  
 leading postki M of  
 likewise condemn  
 annulment

~~The woman  
 remains in her  
 marital state as  
 previously. At  
 children from a  
 future ~~Marriage~~  
 are  
 illegit. into  
 No me form by  
~~the~~ Tribunal  
 of~~

250 When annulments are justified  
 we use 20-30 different grounds for  
 annulment. As pointed out in my  
 response to Katti Hawaii Letter the

objections of 1303  
 Hozer Val 1 # 25-15  
 overcome see that response, my case (Welcome to Katti Hawaii)

Rav Kogen and the Bet Din of  
Warsaw in Iersalyeh  
cited by Shidei Teh  
and Koverzoy

It must be noted that  
The problem of husbands  
who refuse to give a Get  
~~were known~~ existed for  
hundreds of years. ~~years~~ ~~100 years~~ ~~ago~~  
~~Husband~~ ~~fifty years~~ ago  
Rabbi Klotzkin and the  
Rabbinical Court in Warsaw  
devised the strategy of  
Get Ziku that we employ.  
This was devised empowering  
the Rabbinical Court to  
substitute for the husband.  
I discuss the procedure at  
length in my book  
chapter ~~12~~ and chapter  
13. Rabbi Benkin  
100 years ago suggested  
a formula of a  
conditional Get that  
appears in my book  
chapter 12. Obviously  
these Rabbin's were not  
keeping any secrets of the  
scandalous situation created  
by the husband's refusal

Rav Klotzkin at  
Rav Henzoy through citing Rav Klotzkin at  
Pleat length opposes him.

the situation that ~~is common~~ ~~is common~~ those  
~~individuals~~ who consider the concepts  
 individual who consider have a simple marriage  
 Islamic marriage are there any for Salafite marriage  
 solution do not have any. There exists  
~~which is not~~ that ~~exists~~ them.  
 no police state that ~~exists~~ them.

to give his wife a  
 This situation was  
 common knowledge

Rabbis have discussed  
 for the last 500 years  
 the Salafite impact  
 of civil marriages  
 and when two individual  
 men and woman live  
 together without  
 marriage.

~~to let women~~  
~~the woman~~  
~~as a marriage~~  
~~did not work~~  
~~not for problems~~  
 Obviously if problems  
 did not exist - as there  
 would be no  
 discussion. Obviously  
 This matter was  
 public knowledge.  
 In the past 25 years  
 there is not a month

turned by  
 Metach Tont  
 if they have of the hour is excuse.  
 scream - I am a mistake  
 This is bad!  
 they can not  
 The marriage is



However ~~is~~ ~~the~~ ~~relevant~~ ~~to~~ ~~see~~ ~~if~~ ~~the~~ ~~more~~ ~~disclosure~~ ~~is~~ ~~made~~ ~~as~~ ~~an~~ ~~adjunct~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~disclosure~~ ~~made~~ ~~together~~ ~~with~~ ~~other~~ ~~grounds.~~ ~~to~~

Annul a Marriage, see my Book Response to your facts of the Buchman Church Chapter 1 and 4. see EIN 4130001 Cal 1 #24

17  
that Agimat advocacy groups do not publicise the horror stories of the suffering of Agimat. There exist hundreds of such organizations and syo organizations who sympathize with them. Therefore for anyone to state that if a woman gets married and her husband refuses to grant her a bet, there has not been a sufficient disclosure ~~is not a~~ ~~deliberately~~ ~~fraudulent~~ ~~disclosure~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~or~~ ~~disclosure~~ ~~is~~ ~~baseless~~ ~~and~~ ~~can~~ ~~serve~~ ~~no~~ ~~ground~~ ~~for~~ ~~annul~~ ~~ments.~~ ~~The~~ ~~disclosure~~

disclosure is not accurate.

one makes ~~the~~ ~~approach~~ ~~of~~ ~~making~~ ~~the~~ ~~dissolution~~ ~~of~~ ~~marriages~~ ~~easy~~ ~~by~~ ~~a~~ ~~kind~~ ~~of~~ ~~non~~ ~~public~~ ~~!~~  
283

Now we ~~are~~ ~~in~~ ~~an~~ ~~un~~ ~~happy~~ ~~marriage~~ ~~with~~ ~~other~~ ~~guards~~ ~~to~~ ~~stand~~ ~~to~~ ~~gether~~

Annual Marriage, see my  
Book Response Four Parts of the  
Book Answer Much Chapter 1 and 4.  
see EIN FITZPATRICK Vol 1 #24

17  
that Annual advocacy  
groups do not  
publicise the horror  
stories of the suffering  
of Agimat. There exist  
hundreds of such organiza-  
tions and sup organizations  
who sympathize with  
them. Therefore for  
any one to state that  
if a woman gets  
married and her  
husband refuses to grant  
her a bet, there has  
not been sufficient  
disclosure ~~of~~ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~adequately~~  
fraudulent ~~the~~ ~~or~~ ~~discussed~~  
is baseless and can  
serve no ground for  
annulment. In ~~Hondsbrouse~~

disclosure is not  
adequate.

~~Further more if~~  
~~one would adopt~~  
~~the approach of~~  
one makes ~~making~~ ~~disclosure~~ ~~of~~  
257 marriage easy by  
a ~~kind~~ ~~of~~ ~~un~~ ~~happy~~ ~~marriage~~

1913

As there exists many  
web sites that detail  
the ~~horors~~ horrors  
of Agunahs at the  
hands of husbands who  
refuse them a Get. All  
one needs to do is  
type in "Agunah" and  
all the web sites are  
revealed.

This disclosure  
exists today. Mekach  
Tovt no longer  
can be used. Any  
woman who does  
not agree to the  
Terms of Halakic  
Marriage should  
walk out. If she  
remains she accepts  
all the risks.

19c  
I Certainly <sup>an</sup> can be  
argument made that there  
exists no

McKee's Test -  
Non Disclosure and  
Mistake in the Marriage  
AM argument con,

on the other hand, he made that  
nevertheless the  
woman can still  
argue that she  
does not agree to  
remain married  
Hallekualy only  
she is a P. Legat  
Non Married.  
and remaining in the  
and having sex with her  
husband is P. Legat ~~she~~  
she is a Mistress

Even Row blank in Pacesai

I V Ro Chapt 1-4

pp 1-115  
I also  
wanna  
permanently  
she

cancel of that, making the  
no commitment  
that I am with her husband, unless she  
wants to

have set with her husband,  
I am with her husband, unless she  
wants to

My way is cardit joint  
I don't  
I don't

10:18  
Nodah  
Even  
# 54.56  
Fisk  
Fischer

Even  
157:9  
Even  
157:4

the bottom line these  
we therefore will stand on  
both sides of the argument  
merit to  
annulment when no other means

will not agree  
to impuse herself.  
There can be no  
MUTUAL agreement unless the  
woman also agrees  
beginning  
Kedushin - Gairashen  
Ramban

Let's not know with  
Mrs O'Connell  
with her

relating the actual relation to  
and at the time does she respect herself  
with the other person's behavior

even 1 in 1-4  
IvRo 1-4  
pp 1-15

also  
woman  
permanently  
she

cancel at 11:15  
no commitment  
with her husband,  
unless she

Netanyahu & Mrs O'Brien  
will trip to the exit.

She will not agree  
to impregnate herself.  
There can be no  
MUMMAGE unless the  
woman also agrees  
to the beginning  
Kedushin. Gaicashen  
Ramban

10:18.  
Nodah Bsheyuda  
#54.56 as cited in  
Fork Pischei  
Even  
Hoger 157:9  
one Even Hoger  
Ramo 157:4

behavior  
previous  
Man.  
with other  
responsible  
relator to

the bottom line ~~there the~~  
argument can be merit to  
both sides of the argument.  
we therefore will start on ~~annulment~~ when no other means  
out

6F

As pointed out in  
 this response if the  
 husband is strangled  
 financially by the Civil  
 Court ruling, ~~and can not remain~~  
 any Bet Din that ignores  
 this fact ~~and gives~~ <sup>and gives</sup> ~~an~~ <sup>an</sup> ~~involvement~~  
 and ~~abets~~  
 is aiding and abetting  
 in grand larceny.  
 Choshen Mishpat 86:11

~~Such a Bet Din~~  
~~is not a validly~~  
~~formed court and~~  
~~therefore cannot~~  
~~bind anyone~~  
~~to its decisions~~  
~~and is not~~  
~~recognized~~  
~~by the~~  
~~halacha.~~

See chapter 2 of my  
 book Responsa on  
 Four facts of the  
 Hushon Huch

~~See also the citations of~~  
~~support in this response~~  
~~regarding the~~  
~~halacha that~~  
~~the husband~~  
~~and wife~~  
 287



Question

~~Psychol~~ Research of psychological roots that can be traced to ~~psychological~~ exist prior to the marriage. What weight is to be attached to research by scientist psychiatrists psychologists and social workers

Response

Halacha is blatantly clear that no man or woman is ~~condemned for~~ ~~what~~ punished for this ~~thoughts~~ ~~or~~ ~~or~~ only when one ~~to~~ performs



a ~~good~~ <sup>2</sup> concrete deed  
that is considered  
criminal or a tort  
that he is punished.

Insanity is  
ground for  
coercion of a  
marriage. What  
the physical insanity  
must exist prior  
to the marriage.

Seeds of insanity,  
a propensity to be  
insane is not a  
ground for coercion,  
or a claim to

McKee tout - a  
mistake <sup>in</sup> the marriage.  
Actual violence  
must be prohibited  
not the propensity  
to be violent.

Once a husband  
is violent ~~and~~ then  
he can be compelled to  
divorce his wife.  
Otherwise ~~and~~ no  
annulment will be  
given. His  
not necessary that  
this breach occurs  
prior to the  
marriage.

It is my  
position as proven  
in ~~my~~ my book  
Response on the  
Fair facts of the  
Shulchan Aruch  
that annulments  
can be given even  
if the breach  
occurred, after the  
marriage.

So there exists no  
possibility to list  
blatant halacha ~~and~~  
~~and~~ regarding  
Mekah tout.

Mistakenly ~~and~~ ~~perhaps~~ ~~perhaps~~  
mistakenly are governed by ~~perhaps~~ ~~perhaps~~ ~~perhaps~~  
evolution of personality ~~perhaps~~ ~~perhaps~~ ~~perhaps~~  
for the past represents

ending years  
childhood  
or adulthood

Psychiatric  
evaluation  
of personality  
factors that  
can be  
traced  
years back  
to ~~last~~  
even to  
early  
childhood  
has been very  
fashionable  
in the last  
150 years.  
In reality  
an examination  
of the literature  
can

years ~~than~~ years since  
the passing of Raw  
Mokhe Feas Fei -  
but fewstein  
relied on physicians  
that the husband  
was presently and  
tried to the marriage  
in some. ~~he did~~  
Raw Feas Fei and  
no Rabb halachic  
will rely  
on the city  
place this study to philosophers for the  
past thousands of

290

So there exists no  
possibility to  
blatant Hallacha <sup>is not</sup>  
~~is~~ regarding  
Mekah <sup>to</sup> out.

~~and they to~~  
mistaken. ~~and perhaps ipak~~  
that are governing the ~~psychology~~  
of the ~~personality~~ ~~of the~~  
evolution of ~~the~~ ~~personality~~ ~~of the~~  
evolution of ~~the~~ ~~personality~~ ~~of the~~

starting years  
of childhood  
or adulthood

Psychiatric  
evaluation  
of personality  
factors that  
can be  
traced  
years back  
even to  
early  
childhood  
has been very  
fashionable  
in the last  
150 years.  
In reality  
in examination  
of the literature  
can

for the ~~past~~ ~~years~~ ~~since~~  
years ~~than~~ ~~years~~ ~~since~~  
the passing of Raw  
Makel Feinstein -  
Raw Feinstein  
relied on physicians  
that the husband  
was presently and  
prior to the marriage  
insane.  
Raw Feinstein did  
no Rabb halachic  
all the way will rely  
store this study to ~~philosophers~~ ~~for the~~ ~~past~~ ~~thousands~~ ~~of~~ ~~years.~~

on the <sup>5</sup> reevaluation of  
psychiatrists and of  
their thousands of  
conflicting theories  
that continuously  
change.

~~for any practice~~  
~~accounting~~  
~~to see~~  
psychiatrist there  
exists no reliable  
criteria of what

~~factor~~ ~~is~~  
is considered  
normal or abnormal  
or what factor  
caused this  
behavior. And

Is it environmental?  
or genetic? Was  
it caused by  
previous experience  
or is it caused by  
present factors?  
291

even if seeds of  
violence exist  
prior to the  
marriage, seeds  
are never a basis  
for cause to  
coerce a bet and  
an annulment.  
Moses <sup>of the Bible</sup> had  
the <sup>best</sup> triumph  
of a vicious killer,  
would he have  
given vent to  
his temper, men  
and struck  
his wife, <sup>Tziporah</sup>  
he would have  
been coerced  
to divorce his  
wife. However  
no coercion can be

Sue Cushman Ashpot 359:18

Desires another Reason's Property is  
not accountable. Only under one

As to another's  
fakes actions and  
property can he be  
for charges and it is

a breach of a  
trust a  
giver because of

husband's  
propensity to be  
violent.  
wants to be sure  
that to discover  
propensity,

and should  
one ~~shall~~ should  
court for an  
extended period  
time married  
get and not  
after a brief  
courtship.

One should investigate  
the background of  
the spouse and  
his or her family  
will, ~~at~~ manage the family as  
and ~~will~~ ~~the~~ ~~family~~ as  
before the ~~man~~ ~~man~~

man's effect of  
can't force  
man's  
man's

in Wilson v 13 spot 25210  
dealing another reason is property is  
not a transferable. Only under and  
takes actions and a robo another  
property can be he brought up  
for charges and it is a tort.

kept because of  
husband's  
propensity to be  
violent.  
wants to be sure  
that to discover  
propensity,  
and should

one should  
court for an  
attended period  
time married  
put  
after a brief  
courtship.

one should investigate  
the background of  
the spouse and  
his or her family

5 or 6 years  
will, ~~at the~~ through the family as  
and need to tell the marriage  
before the marriage  
294

first effect  
can first effect  
of your  
can first effect  
of your  
can first effect  
of your  
can first effect  
of your

a person's  
thoughts are  
thoughts have  
3000 effect in  
marriage + divorce



Just like <sup>an</sup> individual before  
entering a major  
business deal  
will employ  
detectives, accountants and  
attorneys to  
investigate his  
prospective  
partner, his  
presses and their  
family ~~etc~~  
are not words.  
It is one belief  
in the finding  
of research by  
psychologists,  
psychiatrists and  
social workers  
one should  
employ ~~such~~ such  
295

Rabhas will not accept  
 finding of doctors unless they  
 promise can be corroborated by  
 other physicians. Then accepted by  
 a physician. Not backed by  
 physical evidence. Not accepted by  
 physical evidence. See N. d. a.

9

Knowledge oneself  
 in investigation  
 a future purpose  
 will can not  
 expect a Kabir  
 Court to jump  
 because one  
 has such strong beliefs. (A)  
 These edit  
 circumstances like  
 later misbehavior in  
 on the of the  
 husband can  
 be used as an  
 adjunct in  
 addition to other  
 grounds to annul  
 marriage.

We may be able to trace this to the  
 see the chapter  
 at the back  
 296

xx) From: finding of courts unless they  
 findings can be corroborated by  
 other physicians. From assessment  
 of physicians not backed by  
 physical evidence not expected by  
 physical evidence. ~~see~~ ~~see~~ ~~see~~  
 Pinalatachivinas of N.D.W.

4

I knowed ~~oneself~~  
 in investigation  
 a future & piece  
 one can not  
 expect a Kabipare  
 Court to jump  
 because  
 has such ~~one~~  
 strong beliefs. (A)  
 These edit  
 circumstances look  
 later misbehavior  
 on the of the  
 husband  
 he used ~~as an~~  
 adjunct in  
 addition to other  
 grounds to annul  
 Marriage. ~~But these~~  
 we may be able to trace this theory of the  
 see in my book.

But these ~~Mad~~ ~~to~~ ~~for~~ ~~violent~~ ~~behavior~~  
 et. al. ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~a~~ ~~factor~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~decision~~

(10)

Such as Cheryl  
My report begins facing  
Cheryl 3.5.18  
Having a desire to take  
another property is not  
a matter of fact and is not  
advisory  
need a fact only when  
one exercises  
action. Only when one  
takes a position  
These theories and facts  
have been  
top priority.

Response in the  
Parts of the  
such <sup>10</sup> ~~state~~ Chapt-4-1 ~~but~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~to~~  
ground as  
annulment  
squarely on  
ground is one  
million per  
cent ~~annulment~~  
such an ~~annulment~~  
is null and  
void. The  
noticed remains  
children from  
another man  
are one million  
per cent illegitimate.

297

A husband's property is not  
only they is it  
annulment  
is not grounds for annulment.

Such a high level of  
mercy  
The report begins with  
Healing 350's  
Common  
Having a desire to take  
another's property is not a  
crime  
need a  
force and is not a crime  
need a  
force and is not a crime

Response in force  
Parts of the children  
such as <sup>10</sup> but to  
state grounds an  
annulment  
squarely on this  
ground is one  
million per  
cent annulment  
is such an  
void. The  
married remains  
children from  
another union  
are one million  
per cent illegitimate

A two-bead bracelet. Only they 15 of  
bead bracelet 15 not 9  
bead bracelet 15 not 9  
bead bracelet 15 not 9

I shall my citations  
 in my chapters of  
 of prior existence  
 of misbehavior  
 these must have  
 been physical  
 display of such  
 behavior. This  
 must be shown  
 by detectives or  
 witnesses. We  
 will not depend  
 on unsubstantiated  
 proof and  
 allegations of  
 the wife and  
 her family

## Question Acquiring 1

1. The husband acquires the wife. Why does it the wife acquire the husband?
2. Why is it necessary to acquire in the first place?
3. ~~Shouldn't you have~~ why not have a ceremony where the two spouses pledge to share <sup>and pool</sup> in equal partnership all their assets and pledge mutual love and consideration.

## Response

~~Every group~~  
The laws of the Torah governing marriage and divorce were divinely given. The Torah ordained how a marriage is effected. It ~~is~~ takes place the instant the

2

Bride pledges not to have  
sex with anyone other than  
her husband.

Biblically the husband is  
permitted to marry more  
than one wife. Thus he is  
not ~~lego~~ Biblically prohibited  
to have sex with another  
woman.

~~the~~ Ashkenazik  
Jews after Cheren Kabbala  
Orshon 1000 years ago  
are prohibited.

still married  
Separdic Jews in the Ketuba that their  
wife likewise  
oblige themselves not to  
marry another woman

their fidelity is a mutual  
pledge. To state that only  
wives make the pledge but  
not husbands is slander.

Anyone attributing that  
the ~~to any~~ organization or  
or individual as the  
Creator of the above Law  
of the Torah is exhibits



2

Bride pledges not to have  
sex with anyone other than  
her husband.

Biblically the husband is  
permitted to marry more  
than one wife. Thus he is  
not ~~lego~~ Biblically prohibited  
to have sex with another  
woman.

~~As~~ Ashkenazik  
Jews after Cheren Kabbala  
Orshon 1000 years ago  
are prohibited.

Still married  
Separdic Jews in the Ketuba that they  
give to their wife likewise  
oblige themselves not to  
marry another woman.

Their fidelity is a mutual  
pledge. To state that only  
wives make the pledge but  
not husbands is slanderous.

Anyone attributing that  
the Tanya organization or  
or individual as the  
creator of the above law  
of the Torah is exhibits

The wife usually and not the reverse  
 or they acquire each other's nationality as  
 the instants of feeding the child  
 mortal understanding of it is  
 the same as the dietary habits of most  
 cultures relating to  
 Sabbath observance etc.

ignorance. It is obviously  
 easier to debate an  
 organization or individual  
 rather than the Torah.  
 But it is ignorance  
 and misrepresentation  
 that in itself is a  
 concrete evidence that  
 such an individual  
 denies that the Torah is  
 to give the bottom line  
 of marriage the man acquires  
 the woman. In many societies likewise  
 consider the wife as  
 "acquired" by the husband  
 Latin American and  
 Spanish and Portuguese  
 society consider the wife  
 as "acquired". When a  
 woman gets married  
 she takes the name  
 of the husband. In those  
 societies she adds the  
 Spanish "de" which means  
 belongs to her husband  
 These women who object  
 to this concept have a  
 business getting married  
 or living in those societies

For some, it's true  
 for Jewish women  
 we are not living  
 in a police state  
 any woman who objects  
 to the mechanism of  
 Halacha marriage  
 should not have one  
 can't she does she  
 "Nekah Toud" it  
 was a mistake  
 Ignorance of the  
 law is no excuse.

Anyone wanting  
 to break any law  
 will claim  
 ignorance we then  
 will have a  
 breakdown in all  
 society.

This excuse and  
 desire to escape  
 accountability will  
 not fly. ~~The woman~~  
~~must accommodate her~~  
~~husband only who~~  
 np's

~~to~~ with ~~the~~ ~~the~~  
~~her a bet~~. Only  
 when the woman  
 is prepared to follow  
 the ruling of the  
 in not the husband  
 refuses to comply  
 and give her a  
 bet ~~do~~ is she  
 at a bona fide  
 woman and we  
 will annul her  
 marriage.

Chapter 15  
 Feminists